

JoRMA POLICY ON THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

With effect from 14 July 2026

AUTHORS

JoRMA considers it good practice to be as open and transparent as possible about the use of all tools and technologies, and expects authors to acknowledge any and all tools that have been used. Authors are responsible for the integrity, accuracy, and originality of the submitted work, including any computer-generated material.

With the rise of the use and power of artificial intelligence tools we now mandate that a statement be included in manuscripts specifically with respect to Artificial Intelligence (AI).

AI and AI-assisted technologies may be used for a variety of tasks, including information discovery, synthesis, and in the writing process to improve readability and language. Authors must ensure that their use of any systems does not result in plagiarism, misrepresentation, or falsified content. Systems must not replace key tasks such as producing insights, analysing and interpreting data or drawing conclusions. The resulting work in its totality must be an accurate representation of the author's underlying work and novel intellectual contributions and is not primarily the result of a tool's generative capabilities.

If authors have used AI in the generation of their manuscript, or the underlying research work, then this must be described in the submitted manuscript. AI usage should be disclosed in the appropriate section, e.g. Methodology for data processing, or the caption relating to a figure. The use in translation and language editing should be declared.

Authors are required to include a statement confirming use of (or that they have not to their knowledge used) AI as part of the standard declarations. We have modified our template to accommodate this. The statement should provide detail of which elements of the work were generated or assisted by AI and AI-assisted technologies, and of the tool(s) used. Editors and reviewers will judge if its use is appropriate. Published articles in which the use of such technologies is subsequently discovered may be retracted. Failure to make a declaration will result in the manuscript being rejected.

The Journal reserves the right to ask the authors for additional clarity and information about the AI usage, for example, prompts used. Where this may be an issue, authors may choose to submit their prompts alongside their manuscript.

Authors should check the terms and conditions of any AI tool that they use to ensure that the privacy and confidentiality of their data and inputs, including their unpublished manuscripts, is maintained. Also, that they do not grant any rights to use their material other for provision of the service to them.

AI AS AUTHORS

AI and AI-assisted technologies cannot be listed as an author or co-author, nor be cited as an author. Authorship implies responsibilities and tasks that can only be attributed to and performed by humans.

REVIEWERS

Generative AI or AI-assisted technologies should not be used by reviewers to assist in the scientific review of a paper as the critical thinking and original assessment needed for peer review is outside of the scope of this technology.

A manuscript is a confidential document. Reviewers should not upload a submitted manuscript or any part of it into a generative AI tool as this may violate the author's confidentiality and proprietary rights and, where the paper contains personally identifiable information, may breach data privacy rights.

This confidentiality requirement extends to the peer review report, as it may contain confidential information about the manuscript and/or the authors. For this reason, reviewers should not upload their peer review report into an AI tool, even if it is just for the purpose of improving language and readability.

EDITORS AND THE EDITORIAL PROCESS

A manuscript is a confidential document. Editors should not upload a submitted manuscript or any part of it into a generative AI tool as this may violate the author's confidentiality and proprietary rights and, where the paper contains personally identifiable information, may breach data privacy rights.

This confidentiality requirement extends to all communication about the manuscript including any notification or decision letters as they may contain confidential information about the manuscript and/or the authors. For this reason, editors should not upload their letters into an AI tool, even if it is just for the purpose of improving language and readability. Editors should not use AI assistants for note taking in meetings for similar reasons.

This policy takes account of the draft Global Reporting Standard for AI in Research (see: <https://publicationethics.org/news-opinion/global-reporting-standard-ai-disclosure-research>) and may be modified in light of its finalisation or amendment. It has also drawn on the published policies of the Royal Society and Elsevier. The policy will be reviewed regularly.

For more information on AI in publications, you may find the Committee on Publication Ethics resources useful: <https://publicationethics.org/cope-focus/artificial-intelligence>. The Taxonomy of Human-AI Activities published by NIST may also be of interest: <https://doi.org/10.6028/NIST.AI.200-1>.